1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 \* \* \* 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 8 2:06-CR-00291-PMP-LRL Plaintiff, 9 **ORDER** 10 VS. 11 Lonnie Lillard, 12 Defendant. 13 Before the Court for consideration is Defendant/Petitioner Lonnie Lillard's 14 Motion for Recusal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 144 and 455 (Doc. #285) filed 15 February 24, 2012. 16 Undoubtedly, the adverse rulings cited by Defendant Lillard in his motion 17 are a source of disappointment and frustration. The situation is complicated further 18 by the fact that Defendant Lillard is a Defendant in two other cases before the 19 undersigned, 2:10-cv-00156-PMP and 2:08-cv-00679-PMP. Nevertheless, the 2.0 adverse rulings cited by Defendant Lillard as a basis for his Motion for Recusal do 21 not warrant the relief requested. Additionally, the Court hereby notifies Mr. Lillard 22

that the Honorable Lawrence R. Leavitt, United States District Judge, has retired

from the Court effective October 2011 and is no longer assigned to any of Defendant

26 ///

Lillard's cases.

2.3

24

25

| 1  | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant Lonnie Lillard's Motion                        |
|----|---|
| 2  | for Recusal Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 144 and 455 (Doc. #285) is <b>DENIED</b> . |
| 3  |   |
| 4  | DATED: February 27, 2012.   |
| 5  | Phy m. On   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  | PHILIP M. PRO United States District Judge  |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |